

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

GREGORY D. BARREN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DAVID ROGER, District Attorney; OFFICER
T. ROBINSON, P# 7466; OFFICER R. KENT,
P# 6179; OFFICER D. SHANE, P# 6727,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:11-cv-00650-RLH-CWH

ORDER

(Motion to Compel—#25)


Before the Court is Plaintiff Gregory D. Barren's **Motion to Compel to Answer or In the Alternative, A Ruling on the Merits** (#25, filed Apr. 4, 2012).

This is a § 1983 claim filed against various law enforcement officers. The Court dismissed Plaintiff's Complaint for failure to state a claim on January 19, 2012. (#19). Plaintiff subsequently filed a Motion for Reconsideration (#21), to which the Officers responded (#22), and Plaintiff replied (#23). The Court denied Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration. (#24). Plaintiff has now filed a Motion to Compel asking the Court to either (1) compel the officers to file a sur-reply to his Motion for Reconsideration or (2) issue an order on the merits of his Motion for Reconsideration without the requested sur-reply. Plaintiff raises no new evidence, facts, or

1 intervening change in the law in his Motion to Compel. Therefore, because the Court has already
2 issued an Order (#24) on the merits of his Motion for Reconsideration, this Motion to Compel is
3 moot.

4 Accordingly, and for good cause appearing,
5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (#25) is DENIED as
6 MOOT.

7 Dated: April 11, 2012.

8
9 
10 **ROGER L. HUNT**
11 **United States District Judge**
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26